DOI: 10.2478/10004-1254-65-2014-2493

Original article

# Occupational exposure to blood among hospital workers in Montenegro

Ljiljana Cvejanov-Kezunović<sup>1</sup>, Jadranka Mustajbegović<sup>2</sup>, Milan Milošević<sup>2</sup>, and Rok Čivljak<sup>3</sup>

School of Medicine Podgorica, University of Montenegro, Podgorica, Montenegro<sup>1</sup>, Andrija Štampar School of Public Health, School of Medicine, University of Zagreb<sup>2</sup>, Dr Fran Mihaljević Zagreb University Hospital for Infectious Diseases<sup>3</sup>, Zagreb, Croatia

Received in January 2014 CrossChecked in January 2014 Accepted in September 2014

This cross-sectional study was performed in nine Montenegrin hospitals to estimate the burden of occupational exposure to blood among hospital workers in Montenegro in 2010 using a modified Croatian self-reporting questionnaire on exposure to blood-borne infections. Of the 1043 respondents, 517 (49.6 %) reported exposure to blood. Variations between the hospitals were not significant, except for the hospital in Kotor, which stands out with the high percentage of exposed hospital workers (p<0.05). More than 77 % of exposures were not reported through standard hospital protocols at the time of the incident. The most exposed group to blood were nurses (357 of 517; 69.1 %), but the percentage of exposed nurses within the group did not stand out compared to other occupations and was close to that reported by physicians (50.57 % vs. 57.49 %, respectively). The number of hospital workers with appropriate HBV vaccination was surprisingly low (35.7 %) and significantly below the recommended best practice (at least two consecutive doses of HBV vaccine documented for 100 % of employees) (p<0.001). Even with its limitations, our study fills a gap in knowledge about the actual number of sharps incidents and other occupational exposure to blood among hospital workers in Montenegro as well as about the issue of underreporting, which is very common. It also confirms the urgent need for active implementation of special, comprehensive measures to prevent needle-stick and other sharps injuries. Constant staff training, life-long learning, and standardising post-exposure procedures are also recommended.

**KEY WORDS:** blood-borne infections; sharps injuries, epidemiology

Occupational exposure to blood among hospital workers poses a significant risk of blood-borne infection (BBI) to pathogens such as the hepatitis B virus (HBV), the hepatitis C virus (HCV), and the human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) and consequent transmission of blood-borne diseases. The most likely type of occupational exposure is the sharps, or more specifically, needlestick injury (NSI), followed by the contact of the mucous membrane or non-intact skin (exposed skin that is chapped, abraded, or afflicted with dermatitis) with blood, tissue, or potentially infectious body fluids (1, 2). However, NSIs are believed to be grossly underreported (often half the incidents are not reported) (3, 4).

In the worldwide healthcare population of 35 million, about three million percutaneous exposures to blood-borne pathogens are reported every year. These exposures are estimated to result in 16,000 hepatitis C, 66,000 hepatitis B, and 1,000 HIV infections among healthcare workers (5). Over 90 % of these infections occur in low-income countries, and most are preventable (5, 6). The transmission of HBV, HIV, and HCV to patients by infected healthcare workers has also been documented (7).

While the risk of HBV infection after a needlestick injury is 6-30 %, the risk of HIV is about 0.3 %. Occupational risk of HCV infection after documented exposure is about 2 % (8). However, HBV infection

can be prevented by vaccination. Furthermore, if a susceptible (non-vaccinated or vaccine non-responsive) individual is exposed to HBV, prophylaxis with hepatitis B immune globulin is also effective (9).

A range of interventions has been implemented to maximise the safety of healthcare workers in highincome countries, including standard/universal precautions, personal protective equipment, routine hepatitis B vaccination, post-exposure prophylaxis, engineered safety devices, injury surveillance, and enactment of relevant legislation (10). However, these benefits are rarely available to healthcare workers in low-income countries where less attention is paid to the risks associated with occupational exposure to blood, and the risks are arguably greater because of suboptimal infection control practices and higher incidence of BBIs (2). Other risk-promoting factors include hospital overcrowding, low healthcare workerto-patient ratio, limited awareness of the risks associated with exposure to blood (11), failure to implement universal precautions; low supply of the basic safety equipment for handling contaminated needles and other sharps (2, 11, 12), and unavailable hepatitis B immunisation and post-exposure prophylaxis for HIV.

In developing countries, Montenegro included, exposure and health impacts are rarely monitored and much remains to be done to protect healthcare workers from the risks of infection, illness, disability, and death. To better target prevention efforts, information on the burden caused by occupational transmission would be useful (5, 12-14).

Since reporting on sharps incidents and occupational BBIs among Montenegrin hospital workers is low, we wanted to establish the extent of occupational exposure to blood and the risk of BBI from all sources among hospital workers by occupation and by hospital. We also wanted to assess hepatitis B immunisation coverage and the awareness of BBIs at work among hospital workers.

## PARTICIPANTS AND METHODS

This cross-sectional multicentre study was carried out among hospital workers at nine centres: the Clinical Centre of Montenegro (CCMNE), six general hospitals (Bar, Berane, Bijelo Polje, Cetinje, Kotor, Nikšić), and two specialist hospitals (Brezovik Hospital for Pulmonary Diseases and Tuberculosis,

and Risan Orthopaedic Hospital) from April to September 2011.

The total number of hospital workers in Montenegro is 4,008, and the total number of hospital workers in the nine participating hospitals is 3,639. We distributed 1,600 questionnaires to hospital workers with at least one year of working experience, whose job involves the risk of BBI. This includes staff not providing health care but providing services that may put them at risk such as cleaning, delivery, and maintenance. We excluded the first-year employees because the questionnaire asks participants to report events of the previous year (13, 14). We also excluded hospital administrative staff for obvious reasons. The response rate was 65.2%; of the 1,043 respondents 865 (82.9%) were women and 178 (17.1%) men (Table 1).

**Table 1** Respondent demographic data (n=1043)

	n	%			
	CCMNE	456	43.7		
	Bar	157	15.1		
	Cetinje	38	3.6		
	Kotor	56	5.4		
Hospital	Bijelo Polje	88	8.4		
	Brezovik	59	5.7		
	Risan	51	4.9		
	Nikšić	66	6.3		
	Berane	72	6.9		
Candan	Male	178	17.1		
Gender	Female	865	82.9		
Occupation	Physicians	167	16.0		
	Nurses	706	67.7		
	Lab personnel	68	6.5		
	Other non-HCW*	102	9.8		
Age (years):	41.78=	±10.87			
Years of work	18.18±10.71				

CCMNE – Clinical Centre of Montenegro

The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Clinical Centre of Montenegro, the institution responsible for the approval of all research in humans. All hospital directors and senior personnel were informed about the study aims and questionnaire content.

We made it clear for the participants that participation was voluntary and anonymous and all were fully informed about the design and purpose of the study. The questionnaires were distributed and

<sup>\*</sup>Servicing staff such as cleaning, delivery, and maintenance whose job involves incidental exposure to blood

collected in unmarked envelopes by one of the authors with the help of senior hospital personnel. Those who did not wish to participate were asked to return a blank questionnaire.

## Questionnaire

We used an anonymous self-reporting questionnaire on exposure to blood-borne infections in hospital workers. The questionnaire had been utilised in several studies in Croatia (13, 14) and we modified it to obtain quantitative and qualitative data on exposure to blood through skin (sharps injuries, needlestick, cuts from sharp objects) and mucosa, on hepatitis B vaccination coverage, on HBV, HCV, and HIV test findings, incident reporting and reasons for non-reporting, and whether the site had a protocol in place for postexposure prevention. The definition of NSI included injuries caused by sharps such as hypodermic needles, blood collection needles, *i.v.* cannulas, suture needles, winged needle i.v. sets, and needles used to connect parts of the i.v. delivery systems. Hospital workers were asked to report the frequency of occupational exposure to blood and other body fluids in the previous year (2010) and over their working lifetime. Those who had an injury were also asked whether they reported the injury when it happened and why not if they did not.

Other data included demographics (hospital site, gender, occupation, age, and years of work), compliance with universal precautions, hepatitis B immunisation status, availability of safety equipment, perception of risk, awareness of BBI transmission, perception of workplace safety climate, and barriers to implementation of safe practices.

# Statistical analysis

Data distribution was tested using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test. Differences between exposure to blood, exposure reporting, and hepatitis B vaccination status between hospitals, occupations, and departments were analysed using the chi-square test. The rate of exposure to blood per 100 occupied beds per year was calculated by dividing the total number of blood exposure incidents reported over one year (2010) (numerator) with the number of occupied hospital beds in an institution for the same time period (denominator) multiplied with 100. We used this denominator, as it corrects for unused hospital beds. All *p* values below 0.05 were considered significant. All statistical

calculations were made in Statistica, version 10.0 (Stat Soft, Inc Tulsa, USA).

## **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Table 1 shows the demographic data about the 1043 respondents (hospital, gender, occupation, age, and years of work). Exposure to blood, hepatitis B vaccination coverage, and exposure reporting are shown in Table 2. Nearly 50 % of the respondents had experienced at least one incident of occupational exposure to blood in 2010, but more than 75 % did not report them through standard hospital protocols. In terms of best practice (100 % reporting rate), underreporting was significant (p<0.001). The primary reason for underreporting incidents was that workers were not aware of a protocol for reporting or protective procedures after an incident. More than one-quarter replied that the reason for not reporting was that the patients involved in the incident did not belong to groups at risk. Similarly, a cross-sectional study in Iranian nurses showed that only 36.8 % of those who experienced a needle-stick injury reported the incident through regular proceedings. The primary reasons for not reporting were dissatisfaction with follow-up investigations (33.3 %) and low-risk considerations concerning source patients (29.2 %) (15).

It would be interesting to explore the relationship between organisational and workplace characteristics of individual hospitals with varying levels of exposure, but this is beyond the scope of our study. However, it is important to note that NSI rates are affected by a number of factors, including the level of NSI underreporting and the types of patients a hospital treats. For example, the CCMNE is likely to treat a higher number of patients requiring intensive care than a community hospital and may therefore have a higher NSI rate per patient.

HBV vaccination turned out to be surprisingly low: only 35.7 % of the respondents reported to have received at least two doses of HBV vaccine whereas more than 60 % worked without adequate HBV protection. Again, in terms of the best practice (at least two consecutive doses of HBV vaccine documented for 100 % of employees), the undervaccination was statistically significant (p<0.001) (3).

Table 3 shows the distribution of exposed and unexposed hospital workers by occupation and department. Physicians had the highest rate of multiple exposures compared to other occupations (p<0.001),

Table 2 Exposure to blood, hepatitis B vaccination coverage, and exposure reporting in Montenegrin hospitals in 2010

	-	sure to b 2010, n (		Was exposure reported through hospital protocol, n (%) <sup>‡</sup>			HBV vaccination, n (%)*			
Hospital	Yes	No	Missing data	No	Yes, in <50 % of cases	Yes, in ≥50 % of cases	Missing data	Yes	No	Missing data
CCMNE (n=456)	236	142	78	175	36	23	2	131	323	2
	(51.8)	(31.1)	(17.1)	(74.2)	(15.3)	(9.7)	(0.5)	(28.8)	(71.0)	(0.2)
Bar	68	75	14	44	18	6	0	85	71	1
(n=157)	(43.3)	(47.8)	(8.9)	(64.7)	(26.5)	(8.8)	(0.0)	(54.2)	(45.2)	(0.6)
Cetinje	18	15	5	13	2	3	0	6	32	0
(n=38)	(47.9)	(39.5)	(13.2)	(72.2)	(11.1)	(16.7)	(0.0)	(15.8)	(84.2)	(0.0)
Kotor	40	9 (16.1)	7	35	2	3	0	26	30	0
(n=56)	(71.4) <sup>†</sup>		(12.5)	(87.5)	(5.0)	(7.5)	(0.0)	(46.4)	(53.6)	(0.0)
Bijelo Polje (n=88)	36 (40.9)	21 (23.9)	31 (35.2)	34 (94.4)	1 (2.8)	1 (2.8)	0 (0.0)	43 (48.9)	44 (50.0)	1 (1.1)
Brezovik (n=59)	25 (42.4)	18 (30.5)	16 (27.1)	21 (84.0)	2 (8.0)	2 (8.0)	0 (0.0)	8 (13.6)	51 (86.4)	0 (0.0)
Risan	25	15	11	21	4	0	0	21	30	0
(n=51)	(49.0)	(29.4)	(21.6)	(84.0)	(16.0)	(0.0)	(0.0)	(41.2)	(58.8)	(0.0)
Nikšić	29	17	20	21	2	6	0	25	41	0
(n=66)	(43.9)	(25.8)	(30.3)	(72.4)	(6.9)	(20.7)	(0.0)	(37.9)	(62.1)	(0.0)
Berane (n=72)	40 (55.6)	21 (29.2)	11 (15.3)	35 (87.5)	1 (2.5)	4 (10.0)	0 (0.0)	27 (37.5)	45 (62.5)	0 (0.0)
Total	517	333	193	399	68	48	2 (0.4)	372	667	4
(n=1043)	(49.6)	(31.9)	(18.5)	(77.2)	(13.2)	(9.3)		(35.7)	(64.0)	(0.3)

 $CCMNE-Clinical\ Centre\ of\ Montenegro$ 

†Significant difference (chi-square test; p<0.05) compared to total average exposure to blood in 2010 (49.6 %)

whereas differences between departments were not significant. However, even the lowest reported rate of 13.6 % in the non-surgery departments is alarmingly high (8). A Croatian survey (13) showed that the risk of occupational exposure in gynaecology and obstetrics departments was greater than expected; 89 % of healthcare workers experienced at least one type of exposure throughout their working life. A number of studies have shown that healthcare workers who perceived that they were at high risk of occupational exposure to BBIs reported more sharps injuries in the preceding year than those who did not see themselves at risk (14,16). It is possible that this perception of risk is related to the actual experience of sharps injuries and that healthcare workers who

experience sharps injuries are more likely to associate health care in general with increased risk. In turn, healthcare workers who are more compliant with the universal precautions are less likely to experience a sharps injury. This relationship between occupational exposure to blood and compliance with the universal precautions has been demonstrated by Garb (17) and Grime et al. (18) and reinforces the assumption that the universal precautions enhance the safety of health care workers who use them.

Table 4 presents the rate of blood exposure incidents per occupied bed per day. The average daily census of occupied hospital beds for the same year as the reported needle-sticks served as the denominator since it corrects for unused hospital beds. This gives

<sup>‡</sup>All values compared to recommended best practice (100% reporting rate) were statistically significant (chi-square test; p<0.001) \*All values compared to recommended best practice values (at least two consecutive doses of HBV vaccine documented for 100% of employees) were statistically significant (chi-square test; p<0.001)

<b>Table 3</b> Distribution of exposed and unexposed hospital workers by occupation and department
--

		Number of re	espondents exp	osed to blood		
			in 2010		One vs.	Multiple
		Without exposures	One exposure	Multiple exposures	Without exposures p value	vs. Without exposures p value
		n (%)	n (%)	n (%)		
ccupatio	Physicians (n=167)	71 (42.5)	51 (30.5)	45 (27.0)	0.012	<0.001
	Nurses (n=706)	350 (49.6)	260 (36.8)	96 (13.6)		
	Lab personnel (n=68)	40 (58.8)	18 (26.5)	10 (14.7)		
	Other non-HCW (n=102)*	65 (63.8)	23 (22.5)	14 (13.7)		
<u>5</u>	Non-surgical (n=546)	295 (54.0)	177 (32.4)	74 (13.6)		
	Surgical (n=378)	158 (41.8)	146 (38.6)	74 (19.6)	0.001	0.003
	Laboratory (n=113)	67 (59.3)	29 (25.7)	17 (15.0)	0.001	0.003
	No data (n=6)	6 (100.0)	0 (0.0)	0 (0.0)		

<sup>\*</sup>Servicing staff such as cleaning, delivery, and maintenance whose job involves incidental exposure to blood

a rough idea of the institutional needle-stick experience, which can then be used to track NSI levels over time (19, 20).

Table 5 shows that the most common cause of exposure to blood (multiple exposures included) were hollow needle injuries (31.4 %).

The proportion of exposure incidents reported in our study (totalling 1015) is comparable to that found in studies on other low-income countries. A study on Turkey included 988 hospital workers: 500 nurses (51 %), 212 residents (21 %), 152 nursing assistants (15 %), and others (13 %) and showed that 634 (64 %) of the HCWs had been exposed to blood and body fluids at least once in their professional life (0.85 % exposure per person-years). Of the injured hospital workers, 60 (28 %) had no form of personal protective equipment at the time of the incident, and 144 (67 %) sought no medical advice about the injury (21). Experience from China showed that the subjects with the highest risk of needle-stick and other sharps injuries were from departments of gynaecology and obstetrics, surgical departments, intensive care units and emergency rooms (22). The sharps injuries mainly occurred when the healthcare workers were breaking ampoule or vial glass (incidence 46.7%), withdrawing needles (31 %), preparing sharp devices (25.7 %), or performing surgery (14.5 %).

When interpreting the findings of this study, some limitations should be considered. Retrospective reporting of occupational exposures is subject to recall bias. Furthermore, it was not possible to identify the characteristics of non-respondents and establish

whether they were different in some important way from respondents.

Even with its limitations, our study fills a gap in knowledge about the actual number of sharps incidents and other occupational exposure to blood among hospital workers in Montenegro as well as about the issue of underreporting, which is very common. It also confirms the urgent need for active implementation of special, comprehensive measures to prevent needlestick and other sharps injuries. Constant staff training, life-long learning, and standardising post-exposure procedures are also recommended.

Hospitals should adopt systematic control measures, prospective record keeping, and set up a

**Table 4** Blood exposure among respondents adjusted for occupied beds per day in 2010

Hospital	Exposure (n)	Occupied beds	Exposure to blood per 100 occupied beds (%)
CCMNE	501	493	101.62
Bar	188	125	150.40
Cetinje	55	65	84.62
Kotor	69	99	69.70
Bijelo Polje	42	100	42.00
Brezovik	29	118	24.58
Risan	37	83	44.58
Nikšić	46	167	27.54
Berane	48	133	36.09
Total	1015	1383	73.39

CCMNE - Clinical Centre of Montenegro

**Table 5** Specific causes of blood exposure (including multiple exposures) among 517 respondents reporting exposure in 2010

Co	Total	
Ca	n (%)	
	Hollow needle injury	319 (31.4)
Sharps injuries	Surgical needle injury	132 (13.0)
	Glass cut	110 (10.8)
	Scalpel cut	97 (9.6)
	Contact with non-intact skin	171 (16.8)
Non-sharps exposure	Contact with mucus	116 (11.4)
	Other exposures	41 (4.0)
	Patient bite	29 (2.9)
	Total	1015 (100)

special occupational health and safety unit to implement these measures. On the national level, it is necessary to establish specific programmes for health workers and adapt the existing laws and regulations to the specific needs of healthcare jobs.

#### REFERENCES

- Centers for Disease Control Prevention. Update: provisional Public Health Service recommendations for chemoprophylaxis after occupational exposure to HIV. MMWR 1996;45:468-72.
- Gessessew A, Kahsu A. Occupational exposure of health workers to blood and body fluids in six hospitals of Tigray region (August 1-30, 2006): magnitude and management. Ethiop Med J 2009;47:213-9. PMID: 19954124
- Kuhar DT, Henderson DK, Struble KA, Heneine W, Thomas V, Cheever LW, Gomaa A, Panlilio AL; USPHS Working Group on Occupational Postexposure Prophylaxis; National Center for Emerging and Zoonotic Infectious Diseases (US) Division of Healthcare Quality Promotion. Guidelines for the Management of Occupational Exposures to HIV Updated U.S. Public Health Service guidelines for the management of occupational exposures to HIV and recommendations for postexposure prophylaxis. MMWR Recomm Rep 2013 September 9:1-48.
- Kermode M, Jolley D, Langkham B, Thomas MS, Crofts N. Occupational exposure to blood and risk of bloodborne virus infection among health care workers in rural north Indian health care settings. Am J Infect Control 2005;33:34-41. PMID: 15685133
- 5. Panlilio AL, Cardo DM, Grohskopf LA, Heneine W, Ross CS, Service USPH. Updated US Public Health Service guidelines for the management of occupational exposures to HIV and recommendations for postexposure prophylaxis. MMWR Recomm Rep 2005;54:1-17.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, U.S. Public Health Service Task Force. U.S. Public Health Service Task

- Force recommendations for use of antiretroviral drugs in pregnant HIV-1-infected women for maternal health and interventions to reduce perinatal HIV-1 transmission in the United States. MMWR Recomm Rep 2002;51:1-38;quiz CE1-4. PMID:12489844
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Updated CDC Recommendations for the Management of Hepatitis B Virus-Infected Health-Care Providers and Students. MMWR Recomm Rep 2012 [displayed 3 September 2014]. Available at http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/rr6103a1. htm
- Au E, Gossage JA, Bailey SR. The reporting of needlestick injuries sustained in theatre by surgeons: are we underreporting? J Hosp Infect 2008;70:66-70. doi: 10.1016/j. jhin.2008.04.025
- West DJ. The risk of hepatitis B infection among health professionals in the United States: a review. Am J Med Sci 1984;287:26-33. PMID: 6369984
- Makary MA, Al-Attar A, Holzmueller CG, Sexton JB, Syin D, Gilson MM, Sulkowski MS, Pronovost PJ. Needlestick injuries among surgeons in training. New Engl J Med 2007;356:2693-9. PMID: 17596603
- Richman KM, Rickman LS. The potential for transmission of human immunodeficiency virus through human bites. J Acquir Immune Defic Syndr 1993;6:402-6. PMID: 8455145
- 12. Prüss-Ustün A, Rapiti E, Hutin Y. Estimation of the global burden of disease attributable to contaminated sharps injuries among health-care workers. Am J Ind Med 2005;48:482-90. PMID: 16299710
- 13. Obad I PD, Čivljak R, Milošević M, Stamenić V, Kalenić S. Procjena profesionalne izloženosti infekcijama koje se prenose krvlju u djelatnika ginekološko-porodiljskih odjela u Hrvatskoj [Assessment of occupational exposure to blood borne infections among personnel at obstetrics and gynecology departments in Croatia, in Croatian]. Infektološki glasnik 2012;32:159-66.
- 14. Čivljak R. Učinak propisanog snopa postupaka na smanjenje rizika profesionalne izloženosti bolničkog osoblja infekcijama koje se prenose krvlju. [Care bundles in lowering hospital workers occupational risk exposure to the blood borne infection, in Croatian]. PhD thesis. Zagreb: Zagreb University School of Medicine; 2014.
- Azadi A, Anoosheh M, Delpisheh A. Frequency and barriers of underreported needlestick injuries amongst Iranian nurses, a questionnaire survey. J Clin Nurs 2011;20:488-93. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2702.2010.03252.x
- 16. Puro V, De Carli G, Petrosillo N, Ippolito G. Risk of exposure to bloodborne infection for Italian healthcare workers, by job category and work area. Studio Italiano Rischio Occupazionale da HIV Group. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2001;22:206-10. PMID: 11379710
- 17. Garb JR. One-year study of occupational human immunodeficiency virus postexposure prophylaxis. J Occup Environ Med 2002;44:265-70. PMID: 11911028
- 18. Grime PR, Ris L, Binns C, Carruthers JR, Williams S. Pan-Thames survey of occupational exposure to HIV and the use of post-exposure prophylaxis in 71 NHS trusts. J Infect 2001;42:27-32. PMID: 11243750
- Magnavita N, Placentino RA, Puro V, Sacco A. Management of health care workers with blood-borne infections. Arch Intern Med 2003;163:1489-90; author reply 1490. PMID: 12824103

- 20. Gajić Z, Rajčević S, Đurić P, Ilić S, Dugandžija T. Knowledge and attitudes of health care workers from the Primary Health Center Indjija, Serbia, on occupational exposures to bloodborne infections. Arh Hig Rada Toksikol 2013;64:145-51. doi: 10.2478/10004-1254-64-2013-2268
- 21. Azap A, Ergönül O, Memikoğlu KO, Yeşilkaya A, Altunsoy A, Bozkurt GY, Tekeli E. Occupational exposure to blood
- and body fluids among health care workers in Ankara, Turkey. Am J Infect Control 2005;33:48-52. PMID: 15685135
- 22. Shi CL, Zhang M, Xie C. [Study on status of needle-stick and other sharps injuries among healthcare workers in a general hospital, in Chinese]. Zhonghua Lao Dong Wei Sheng Zhi Ye Bing Za Zhi 2011;29:939-43. PMID: 22470951

#### Sažetak

#### Profesionalna izloženost krvi u bolničkih radnika u Crnoj Gori

Kako bi se procijenila profesionalna izloženost krvi bolničkih radnika u Crnoj Gori tijekom 2010. godine, provedeno je presječno istraživanje prilagođenim *Hrvatskim upitnikom samoprocjene izloženosti infekcijama koje se prenose krvlju* u devet bolnica. Od 1043 ispitanika, njih 517 (49,6 %) prijavilo je izloženost krvi. Nije bilo značajnih razlika između bolnica, osim bolnice u Kotoru koja se izdvaja visokim udjelom izloženih bolničkih radnika (p<0,05). Više od 77 % izloženosti nije prijavljeno u vrijeme nastanka incidenta putem standardnog bolničkog protokola. Najizloženija skupina bile su medicinske sestre (357 od 517; 69,1 %), ali udio izloženih sestara unutar skupine nije se značajno razlikovao u usporedbi s liječnicima (50,57 % prema 57,49 %). Broj bolničkih radnika koji imaju odgovarajući HBV cjepni status bio je iznenađujuće nizak (35,70 %) i značajno ispod preporučene dobre prakse (najmanje dvije uzastopne doze HBV cjepiva koje su dokumentirane u 100 % zaposlenih; *p*<0,001). Unatoč svojim ograničenjima, rezultati istraživanja popunjavaju raskorak u spoznajama o stvarnom broju ozljeda oštrim predmetima, ostalim profesionalnim izloženostima krvi i o vrlo uobičajenoj praksi bolničkih radnika u Crnoj Gori da ne prijavljuju te incidente. Također potvrđuju potrebu hitnog djelovanja u aktivnom uvođenju specifičnih i sveobuhvatnih mjera za prevenciju ubodnih incidenata i ozljeda oštrim predmetima. Preporučuje se kontinuirana obuka osoblja, cjeloživotno učenje i standardiziranje postekspozicijskih postupaka.

KLJUČNE RIJEČI: epidemiologija; infekcije prenosive krvlju; ozljede oštrim predmetima

#### CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:

Ljiljana Cvejanov Kezunović, MD PhD Associate Professor Chair, Department of Occupational Health Medical Faculty Podgorica, University of Montenegro Kruševac bb, Podgorica 20 000, Montenegro E-mail: ljiljakez@hotmail.com, kezunoviclj@ac.me