

Employment status and other predictors of mental health and cognitive functions in older Croatian workers

Adrijana Koščec Bjelajac¹, Jasminka Bobić¹, Jelena Kovačić¹, Veda Marija Varnai¹, Jelena Macan¹, and Šime Smolić²

¹ *Institute for Medical Research and Occupational Health, Zagreb, Croatia*

² *Faculty of Economics and Business, University of Zagreb, Croatia*

[Received in January 2019; Similarity Check in January 2019; Accepted in June 2019]

The aim of this study was to examine mental health and cognitive functions in older Croatian workers (50–65 years) taking into account their employment status, self-assessed health, and a set of demographic characteristics. We analysed the data collected on 650 older workers (71 % employed) in the Wave 6 of the Survey of Health, Ageing and Retirement in Europe (SHARE). Unemployed workers reported symptoms of loneliness more often than the employed, while in rural areas unemployment was additionally associated with more pronounced symptoms of depression. Feeling of loneliness was also higher in those living without a partner in the household and in those with poorer health. In urban residents symptoms of depression were more severe in women, respondents with higher education, those living without a partner, and those who rated their health as poorer. As for cognitive functions, unemployment significantly predicted poorer subtraction in the rural subsample. Women in general showed less efficient numerical abilities. In the urban subsample poorer numerical abilities were also associated with lower education and living without a partner in the household. Better verbal recall was predicted by higher education and better self-rated memory. Higher scores in verbal fluency were predicted by urban residency and better self-rated health. Our results indicate that the protective factors for good mental health and cognitive functioning in older Croatian workers are being employed, having more education, living with a partner in the household, and being healthier. These findings stress the importance of implementing broader social policy strategies covering employment, education, and health.

KEY WORDS: aging; depression symptoms; loneliness; self-rated health; unemployment

Modern society is confronted with various challenges due to population ageing. Although there is a common trend to develop policies to keep workers in the labour market longer, restructuring and optimisation processes are still mostly focused on excluding older workers from the workforce. Between 2002 and 2015, the employment rate of the oldest group of workers (55–64 years) in the European Union had continuously been the lowest of all age groups (1).

Beside financial issues, unemployment can lead to a deterioration of physical and/or mental health, especially if it is long-term (2, 6), and older workers are at a greater risk of long-term unemployment not only because of limited jobs availability but also because of age discrimination by employers (3, 4).

It is usually assumed that younger and older persons show less severe unemployment consequences than middle-aged persons, who are at greater family and financial pressure. However, the opposite has been evidenced by a meta-analysis (5) of over 300 cross-sectional and longitudinal studies, as it showed that the young and

50-year-olds and over had significantly more symptoms of distress, depression, anxiety, and psychosomatic symptoms, and rated their well-being and self-esteem lower than other age groups. Moreover, it showed that unemployment not only correlated with distress but actually caused it.

Bi-directional association between unemployment and impaired physical, mental and/or cognitive health is well recognised (6–17). However, the strength of this relationship, as well as macro-economic, personal socio-economic, and life-style factors mediating and modifying this relationship, are, at least to certain degree, country- and time-specific (18–21).

To the best of our knowledge, studies in Croatia are mainly focused on unemployment issues of workers under 55 years of age, whereas the age group between 50 and 65 years has not yet been investigated. Therefore, the aim of our study was to fill that gap by examining specific aspects of mental health and cognitive functions, i. e. feeling of loneliness, depression symptoms, numeracy, verbal fluency, and verbal recall in this population. Furthermore, we wanted to explore the value of employment status, age, gender, cohabitation, place of residence, and self-rated health as predictors of these aspects of mental health and cognitive functions in older Croatian workers.

PARTICIPANTS AND METHODS

The Survey of Health, Ageing and Retirement in Europe (SHARE) is a multidisciplinary and cross-national panel database of micro data on health, socio-economic status, and social and family networks of more than 120,000 individuals aged 50 or older (more than 380,000 interviews) covering 27 European countries and Israel. During the SHARE Wave 6 in 2014–2015, more than 68,000 face-to-face interviews were collected in 18 countries (22). SHARE is based on its sister Health and Retirement Study (HRS) conducted in the United States (<https://hrs.isr.umich.edu>) and the English Longitudinal Study of Ageing (ELSA) conducted in England (<https://www.elsa-project.ac.uk>). More details about SHARE Wave 6, such as the questionnaire, sampling design, and weighting procedures are available in Malter and Börsch-Supan (23) and Börsch-Supan et al. (24). In addition, compliance profiles for each country are available in Malter et al. (25). The SHARE study has been approved by the University of Mannheim on several occasions (until 2011) and by the Ethics Council of the Max Planck Society (from 2011 on), and was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Croatia joined SHARE in Wave 6, while the preparations for joining SHARE started as early as 2012 with the project The Economics of Ageing in Croatia (26). Data for Croatia were collected between June and November 2015 through interviews with 2,494 persons aged 50 years and over (born in 1964 or older) and their partners irrespective of their age (43.9 % male, and 56.1 % female respondents) (22) with regular residence in Croatia. Persons were excluded from the study if they were incarcerated, hospitalised, or out of the country over the entire survey period (June to November 2015), unable to speak the language, or had moved to an unknown address. With the response rate of nearly 42 %, Croatia met the minimum individual response rate requirement in the baseline sample.

SHARE data collection is based on computer-assisted personal interviewing (CAPI). The interviewers conduct face-to-face interviews using a laptop on which the CAPI instrument is installed. SHARE applies a concept of ex-ante harmonisation: there is one common generic questionnaire that is translated into national languages.

Of all respondents aged 50–65 years in the Croatian sample (N=1,398), this study included 650 respondents who were either employed (N=460, including self-employed) or unemployed (N=190) at the time of the interview. Other respondents aged 50–65 years (N=748) were retired (N=569), homemakers (N=126), with unknown employment status (N=29), or permanently sick or disabled (N=24) and were excluded from the analysis.

Variables

General characteristics of respondents included age, gender, education, place of residence, and cohabitation. Age

at the time of the interview was calculated according to the SHARE release guide 6.0.0. p. 23 (22). Gender was not self-reported but assigned by the interviewer (male/female).

Applying the 1997 International Standard Classification of Education (ISCED), education was classified as low (levels 0–2), middle (levels 3–4), or high (5–6) (27).

Place of residence was established from participants' or interviewers' responses, as follows: 1 – “Big city”, 2 – “Suburbs or outskirts of a big city”, 3 – “Large town”, 4 – “Small town”, and 5 – “Rural areas”. Responses from 1 to 4 were categorised as “Urban residence” and the response number 5 as “Rural residence”.

Cohabitation information was provided by the SHARE team. This variable had two categories: “Living with a partner in the same household” and “Living without a partner in the same household”.

Current employment status was taken from participant responses to one of the following options: 1 – “Retired”, 2 – “Employed or self-employed”, 3 – “Unemployed”, 4 – “Permanently sick or disabled”, 5 – “Homemaker” or “Other”. Participants who opted for number 2 were categorised as employed and those who chose number 3 were categorised as unemployed.

Physical health was described by two self-report measures. The first was self-rated health, estimated on a five-point Likert-type scale ranging from 1 – “Poor” to 5 – “Excellent”. The second measure was a composite dichotomous measure of chronic illness combining yes-or-no answers to whether the respondents had any long-term physical and/or mental health problems and whether the doctor diagnosed them with any of the following chronic health conditions: hypertension, increased cholesterol, arthritis, diabetes, heart disease, cerebrovascular disease, peptic ulcer, carcinomas, chronic lung disease, chronic kidney disease, affective or emotional disorders, Parkinson disease, and Alzheimer's disease.

Two mental health outcomes were considered: depression symptoms and feeling of loneliness. Depression symptoms were assessed using a EURO-D scale (28). It is a 12-item scale assessing the presence of different symptoms of depression such as feelings of pessimism, irritability, enjoyment, fatigue, depression, suicidality, guilt, sleep problems, interests, appetite, concentration, and tearfulness in the previous month. The scores ranged from 0 to 12, with higher scores indicating more symptoms of depression.

For loneliness we used a short form of the Revised – University of California at Los Angeles Loneliness Scale (29) adapted for the SHARE study (30). The scale consisted of three items indirectly measuring loneliness (feeling lack of companionship, feeling left out, feeling isolated), each rated on a three-point Likert-type scale ranging from 1 – “Often” to 3 – “Never”. A simple linear combination of the reversed scores on three questions gave a total score ranging from 3 to 9, with higher score indicating stronger feeling of loneliness.

As a measure of cognitive function, three outcomes were considered: numeracy, verbal fluency, and verbal recall. Two types of numeracy were assessed: calculating with percentages (four items with a total score from 1 to 5) and simple subtraction (five items with a total score from 0 to 5). These two composite variables were suggested and generated by the SHARE team (22).

Verbal fluency represented the number of animals the respondent succeeded to name over one minute.

Verbal recall was defined as the number of words successfully repeated by the respondent immediately or with a delay after hearing them read out loud by the interviewer from a ten-word list (immediate and delayed verbal recall, respectively). The respondents were also asked to self-rate their memory on a five-point Likert-type scale ranging from 1 – “Excellent” to 5 – “Bad”. The responses were reverse coded for analysis, with higher scores indicating better self-rated memory.

Statistical analysis

To obtain estimates representative for the whole population, calibrated individual weights were used for descriptive statistics. These weights were calculated based on the sizes of subpopulations belonging to specific age range, gender, and region groups and were provided by the SHARE team (22). For categorical variables, percentages of study population belonging to each category were shown. Numerical variables were described either by means and standard deviations, if their distributions were symmetrical or mildly skewed, or by medians and interquartile ranges if they were not.

Mental health and cognitive outcomes (loneliness, depression symptoms, verbal fluency, numeracy, and immediate and delayed verbal recall) were further analysed with regression analysis. Prior to analysis, verbal fluency was transformed by adding a constant and applying natural logarithm to achieve normal distribution of residuals. For

logistic regression analysis, used for all other variables, outcomes with low number of categories were dichotomised. Depression was defined as having a score of 4 and more on the EURO-D scale, as this categorisation is proposed by the SHARE team (22) and is widely used in publications based on SHARE data. Other mental health and cognitive outcomes were dichotomised using the following cut-offs: greater than 4 for loneliness; less than 4 for low numeracy – percentages tasks; less than 5 for low numeracy - subtraction tasks; less than 6 for immediate verbal recall; less than 4 for delayed verbal recall. These cut-offs were selected in a way that one third (or as close to this share as possible) of the study sample was marked as having an undesirable outcome.

For each model interaction between unemployment and 1) gender and 2) place of residence was tested. If the p-value for interaction was less than 0.1, models for each gender or place of residence were further analysed separately.

Although regression models were not weighted, we used Huber-White corrections for standard errors to account for clustering at the household level.

All analyses were performed in STATA 14.2 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA) and R 3.1.1. (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria) (31).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Characteristics of older Croatian workers

Sociodemographic characteristics of our sample (N=650) are presented in Table 1. There were no age differences either by gender or employment status in older Croatian workers. Most of the unemployed workers had low education level (59 %), while most of the employed had middle level of education (40 %). Low education level was more dominant among men than women. There were no differences in the percentage of urban and rural residents

Table 1 Selected general characteristics in the sample of older Croatian workers (N=650)

	Men N=331	Women N=319	Employed N=460	Unemployed N=190	Total N=650
Age in years	57 (55–60)	55 (53–58)	56 (54–59)	57 (54–60)	56 (54–59)
Educational level					
Low	47 %	34 %	33 %	59 %	40 %
Middle	32 %	42 %	40 %	31 %	37 %
High	21 %	24 %	27 %	10 %	22 %
Residence					
Urban	71 %	77 %	74 %	74 %	74 %
Rural	29 %	23 %	26 %	26 %	26 %
Living with a partner in the same household	88 %	85 %	87 %	85 %	86 %
Employment status					
Employed	73 %	69 %	100 %	0 %	71 %
Unemployed	27 %	31 %	0 %	100 %	29 %

The results are presented as unweighted percentages or unweighted medians with interquartile range (age)

or those living with or without a partner in the same household regarding their employment status or gender. In our sample, the frequency of unemployment was equally distributed between men and women (27 % and 31 %, respectively).

Table 2 shows physical health, mental health, and cognitive function parameters in our population of older Croatian workers. Forty-eight percent of the employed workers rated their health as excellent or very good in contrast to only 31 % of the unemployed workers who considered their health to be more than good. Also, more unemployed than employed respondents reported poor health (12 % vs 4 %, respectively) and the trend was similar for both men and women. In addition, 62 % of the unemployed vs 52 % of the employed workers reported chronic illness. Poorer health in unemployed workers has been reported in some other studies too (2, 15, 21) and raises further concern about the possibility of their re-employment. Even though this group may be in a greater need of preventive healthcare services, Vončina et al. (15) reported that they use them less often than the employed. It points toward another aspect of negative discrimination this group has to suffer: lack of opportunities for health protection

through regular preventive medical examinations. Our study points toward another risk factor regarding the health of older workers, which is female gender.

Our unemployed respondents reported more symptoms of impaired mental health than the employed ones (Table 2). This finding is consistent with the findings of a longitudinal study reported by Šverko et al. (4), who found that unemployment (long-term in particular) led to the experience of social isolation. In our sample 30 % of the unemployed workers reported symptoms of loneliness (vs. 18 % of the employed). Regarding depression symptoms, the difference between the employed and unemployed is bigger in the rural subsample (42 % and 17 %, respectively), but the same trend is present in the urban subsample as well. Women generally reported more symptoms of depression and loneliness than men, which is a common finding (32).

Table 2 also points to significant differences in numerical abilities between the unemployed and employed workers in rural residences. Unemployed workers showed poorer results in subtraction task and calculation with percentages. Men in both urban and rural subsample had better results in subtraction tasks than women.

Table 2 Selected physical, mental health, and cognitive variables in older Croatian workers (N=650)

	Employed N=460	Unemployed N=190	Men N=331	Women N=319
Self-rated health				
5 – Excellent	16 %	12 %	18 %	12 %
4 – Very good	32 %	19 %	30 %	27 %
3 – Good	30 %	32 %	29 %	33 %
2 – Fair	18 %	25 %	19 %	21 %
1 – Poor	4 %	12 %	5 %	8 %
Mean self-rated health	3.39	2.96	3.37	3.12
Any chronic illness	52 %	62 %	52 %	59 %
Mental health				
Loneliness	18 %	30 %	17 %	28 %
Depression symptoms				
– urban sample	19 %	24 %	13 %	30 %
– rural sample	17 %	42 %	19 %	33 %
Cognitive functions				
Verbal fluency	21(17–26)	21 (17–26)	21(17–27)	21 (17- 25)
Poor subtraction				
– urban sample	18 %	20 %	13 %	26 %
– rural sample	17 %	48 %	20 %	35 %
Poor calculation with percentages				
– urban sample	45 %	48 %	43 %	50 %
– rural sample	41 %	62 %	46 %	48 %
Poor immediate verbal recall	36 %	40 %	38 %	36 %
Poor delayed verbal recall	33 %	38 %	36 %	32 %
Self-rated memory	4 (3–5)	4 (3–4)	4 (3–5)	4 (3–4)

The results are presented as weighted percentages, weighted means (mean self-rated health) or weighted medians with interquartile range (verbal fluency, self-rated memory)

Mental health of older Croatian workers

Regardless of the place of residence, unemployment predicted higher feeling of loneliness. The unemployed participants had 76 % higher odds of reporting loneliness symptoms than the employed participants, while controlling for other predictors in the model [odds ratio (OR) 1.76 with 95 % confidence interval (CI) 1.13, 2.74, Table 3]. The feeling of loneliness was also more likely in respondents living without a partner in the same household, those reporting chronic illnesses, and those reporting poorer health.

Unlike loneliness, depression symptoms were described by different predictors depending on the place of residence. Quite expectedly, women from urban residences had at least double the odds of men to have more severe depression symptoms [OR=2.42 [1.41, 4.13]]. Judging by the review of Piccinelli and Wilkinson (32), this finding is consistent with the findings of a number of epidemiological studies reporting greater prevalence and morbidity risk of depressive disorders in women. Cohabitation confirmed the expectations as a protective factor for emotional health in terms of depression symptoms and feeling of loneliness across the whole sample. Curiously enough, living without a partner in the same household predicted more pronounced depression symptoms only in the urban sample. Similar was reported by Romans et al. (33), who also found a lower risk of depression amongst rural dwellers. The reason may lie in the fact that those who live without a partner in their households in rural areas have stronger social connections and potential community support from neighbours, relatives, and other residents living in the vicinity, than people living in cities.

Reporting long-term physical and/or mental health problems significantly predicted more intense sense of loneliness (OR=1.62 [1.01, 2.59]), while higher self-rated health predicted less severe sense of loneliness in the whole sample and less severe depression symptoms in the urban

subsample only (Table 3). Despite its subjective nature, self-rated health is considered to be a simple and valid measure of health status and a valid predictor of future mortality, morbidity, and disability (34–36). Reports on older Croatian adults showed that, among other factors, higher self-rated health in 65-year-olds and over was associated with higher educational level, better health, better functional ability (26), and higher life satisfaction (37). This finding was no surprise, since subjective estimation of one's health comprises broad mental health aspects (38). We should bear in mind, however, that the relation between depression and perception of one's health is bi-directional, as depression contributes to the self-perception of poor health.

Interestingly enough, of all the associations between education (low, middle, and high) and mental health variables (loneliness and depression symptoms) only high education in the urban residents turned out to be a good predictor of more severe depression symptoms (Table 3). Greater vulnerability of highly educated urban residents to depression symptoms may point to specific stressful circumstances pertinent to the urban way of life.

Cognitive functions of older Croatian workers

Considering calculation with percentages we found that urban women showed poorer performance in numerical tasks than men (Table 4). As expected, participants with middle or high education performed better in this task than those with low education. Those living with the partner in the same household also performed better.

As for subtraction, unemployment turned out to be a strong predictor of poorer results but only in the rural subsample (Table 4). The same is true for the female gender regardless of residence. Better performance of participants with the middle level of education in subtraction task was observed only in the urban sample.

Table 3 Predictors of loneliness and depression symptoms (urban and rural settlement) in older Croatian workers (N=650)

Predictor	Odds ratio [95 % confidence interval]		
	Loneliness	Depression symptoms (urban sample)	Depression symptoms (rural sample)
Unemployment	1.76* [1.13, 2.74]	1.14 [0.64, 2.02]	2.19* [1.03, 4.66]
Age	0.94 [0.89, 1.00]	1.00 [0.93, 1.06]	0.99 [0.87, 1.13]
Gender (female)	1.50 [0.98, 2.29]	2.42* [1.41, 4.13]	2.14 [0.83, 5.54]
Rural residence	1.40 [0.86, 2.28]	-	-
Education			
Low ^a	(ref.)	(ref.)	(ref.)
Middle	0.84 [0.52, 1.37]	1.06 [0.58, 1.94]	0.71 [0.26, 1.98]
High	0.98 [0.54, 1.78]	2.25* [1.13, 4.46]	0.74 [0.16, 3.37]
Living with partner in the same household	0.45* [0.26, 0.77]	0.39* [0.20, 0.75]	0.69 [0.26, 1.79]
Any chronic illness	1.62* [1.01, 2.59]	1.66 [0.89, 3.08]	1.97 [0.74, 5.26]
Self-rated health	0.73* [0.59, 0.90]	0.50* [0.38, 0.66]	0.64 [0.39, 1.06]

^aReference group; *p<0.05

Table 4 Predictors of cognitive functions in older Croatian workers (N=650)

Predictor	Odds ratio [95 % confidence interval]						β [95 % confidence interval]
	Poor calculation with percentages (urban sample)	Poor calculation with percentages (rural sample)	Poor subtraction (urban sample)	Poor subtraction (rural sample)	Poor immediate verbal recall	Poor delayed verbal recall	
Unemployment	0.88 [0.58, 1.34]	1.96 [0.97, 3.96]	1.07 [0.63, 1.82]	3.05* [1.36, 6.82]	0.91 [0.63, 1.33]	0.92 [0.63, 1.36]	0.02 [-0.03, 0.06]
Age	1.04 [0.99, 1.11]	1.00 [0.90, 1.11]	0.99 [0.93, 1.06]	1.08 [0.97, 1.22]	0.96 [0.92, 1.01]	0.97 [0.92, 1.02]	0.00 [-0.01, 0.01]
Gender (female)	1.52* [1.07, 2.15]	0.90 [0.46, 1.77]	2.28* [1.40, 3.71]	2.58* [1.09, 6.12]	0.78 [0.56, 1.10]	0.82 [0.60, 1.12]	-0.02 [-0.05, 0.02]
Rural residence	-	-	-	-	0.96 [0.64, 1.43]	1.05 [0.67, 1.65]	-0.07* [-0.13, -0.01]
Education							
Low ^a	(ref.)	(ref.)	(ref.)	(ref.)	(ref.)	(ref.)	(ref.)
Middle	0.53* [0.33, 0.84]	1.13 [0.54, 2.37]	0.50* [0.28, 0.89]	1.13 [0.49, 2.60]	0.52* [0.35, 0.76]	0.60* [0.40, 0.88]	0.04 [-0.01, 0.09]
High	0.53* [0.31, 0.91]	0.94 [0.32, 2.75]	0.79 [0.42, 1.46]	0.24 [0.03, 1.82]	0.43* [0.26, 0.70]	0.42* [0.25, 0.73]	0.06 [-0.01, 0.12]
Living with a partner in the same household							
0.51* [0.28, 0.93]	0.84 [0.37, 1.91]	1.24 [0.61, 2.53]	0.50 [0.19, 1.34]	0.72 [0.44, 1.18]	0.87 [0.53, 1.44]	0.02 [-0.05, 0.08]	
Any chronic illness	0.87 [0.57, 1.33]	1.76 [0.83, 3.72]	0.95 [0.56, 1.63]	1.60 [0.58, 4.45]	1.23 [0.83, 1.82]	1.28 [0.85, 1.92]	-0.01 [-0.06, 0.04]
Self-rated health	1.09 [0.89, 1.34]	0.88 [0.62, 1.24]	0.80 [0.63, 1.02]	0.83 [0.56, 1.22]	0.94 [0.78, 1.14]	1.12 [0.93, 1.35]	0.03* [0.00, 0.05]
Self-rated memory	-	-	-	-	0.78* [0.64, 0.96]	0.70* [0.56, 0.86]	-

^a Reference group; *p<0.05

As expected, participants with higher education levels performed better in both types of verbal recall tasks (immediate and delayed), irrespective of gender and place of residence (Table 4).

We were also interested in the association between self-rated memory and the actual performance in two memory tests, as memory decline is a very common complaint among the elderly, and impaired memory may severely affect everyday functioning and mental health. Balash et al. (39) found that memory complaints of the elderly respondents were associated with sub-clinical symptoms of depression, even among those without objectively measured cognitive decline. Our results suggest that self-rated memory is a good predictor of performance in both immediate and delayed verbal memory tests. Even though self-assessment of one's memory is often used as a screening tool in clinical practice, there is only limited evidence that such estimation corresponds to objective measures of one's memory function (40).

Verbal fluency was significantly associated with urban residence and better self-rated health, irrespective of other factors (Table 4). It is well known that healthy persons retain speech fluency well into their 70s (41) and that the design of verbal fluency tests, i. e. calling for items only in a specific category, reinforces these memory processes. Interestingly enough, of all cognitive outcomes in our study only verbal fluency was not predicted by age, gender, or education level.

Limitations

The main limitation of this study is its cross-sectional design, which gives no evidence of a temporal relationship between exposure and outcome and does not allow conclusions about the causal relationships between mental health and employment status. Furthermore, we were not able to control for the duration of unemployment, which could also have influenced the results. Moreover, the depression symptoms were self-assessed, and self-assessment may be biased by the mood state at the time of the interview (42).

CONCLUSIONS

Despite its limitations, our study clearly suggests that belonging to the active workforce helps older workers in Croatia feel socially engaged and fully contributing to the community. Unemployment in this population carries the risks of the development of mental health problems such as increased symptoms of loneliness and depression. Even specific cognitive functions may be affected by these adverse health and psychosocial conditions. Keeping older workers in the active workforce may be a reasonable preventive intervention strategy aiming at protecting workers' well-being and reducing health care system expenditures.

Acknowledgements and funding

SHARE data collection has mainly been funded by the European Commission through the following Framework Programmes: FP5 (QLK6-CT-2001-00360), FP6 (SHARE-I3: RII-CT-2006-062193, COMPARE: CIT5-CT-2005-028857, SHARELIFE: CIT4-CT-2006-028812), and FP7 (SHARE-PREP: N°211909, SHARE-LEAP: N°227822, SHARE M4: N°261982). Additional funding was received from the German Ministry of Education and Research, the Max Planck Society for the Advancement of Science, the US National Institute on Aging (U01_AG09740-13S2, P01_AG005842, P01_AG08291, P30_AG12815, R21_AG025169, Y1-AG-4553-01, IAG_BSR06-11, OGHA_04-064, HHSN271201300071C) and from various national funding sources (see www.share-project.org).

Conflict of interest

None to declare.

REFERENCES

1. Eurostat Statistics Explained. Europe 2020 indicators - employment [displayed 25 March 2019]. Available at http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php/Europe_2020_indicators_-_employment
2. Galić Z, Šverko B. Effects of prolonged unemployment and reemployment on psychological and physical health. *Rev Psychol* 2008;15:3–10.
3. Kerovec N. Poteškoće u zapošljavanju osoba starije dobi [Difficulties in employing elderly persons, in Croatian]. *Rev Soc Polit* 2001;8:267–77. doi: 10.3935/rsp.v8i3.199
4. Šverko B, Galić Z, Maslić Serčić D. Nezaposlenost i socijalna isključenost: longitudinalna studija [Unemployment and social exclusion: a longitudinal study, in Croatian]. *Rev Soc Polit* 2006;13:1–14. doi: 10.3935/rsp.v13i1.417
5. Paul KI, Moser K. Unemployment impairs mental health: Meta-analyses. *J Vocat Behav* 2009;74:264–82. doi: 10.1016/j.jvb.2009.01.001
6. Worach-Kardas H, Kostrzewski S. Quality of life and health state of long-term unemployed in older production age. *App Res Qual Life* 2014;9:335–53. doi: 10.1007/s11482-013-9240-z
7. Wray LA. Mental health and labor force exits in older workers: the mediating or moderating roles of physical health and job factors. WP 2003-047 Michigan Retirement Research Center, University of Michigan, 2003 [displayed 25 March 2019]. Available at <https://deepblue.lib.umich.edu/bitstream/handle/2027.42/50572/wp047.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y>
8. Boyce CJ, Wood AM, Daly M, Sedikides C. Personality change following unemployment. *J Appl Psychol* 2015;100:991–1011. doi: 10.1037/a0038647
9. Mousteri V, Daly M, Delaney L. The scarring effect of unemployment on psychological well-being across Europe. *Soc Sci Res* 2018;72:146–69. doi: 10.1016/j.ssresearch.2018.01.007
10. Leist AK, Glymour MM, Mackenbach JP, van Lenthe FJ, Avendano M. Time away from work predicts later cognitive

- function: differences by activity during leave. *Ann Epidemiol* 2013;23:455–62. doi: 10.1016/j.annepidem.2013.05.014
11. Fitch C, Hamilton S, Bassett P, Davey R. The relationship between personal debt and mental health: a systematic review. *Mental Health Rev J* 2011;16:153–66. doi: 10.1108/13619321111202313
 12. Šlachťová H, Jiřík V, Tomášek I, Tomášková H. Environmental and socioeconomic health inequalities: a review and an example of the industrial Ostrava region. *Cent Eur J Public Health* 2016;24(Suppl):S26–32. doi: 10.21101/cejph.a4535
 13. Silva M, Loureiro A, Cardoso G. Social determinants of mental health: a review of the evidence. *Eur J Psychiat* 2016;30:259–92.
 14. Jurcev-Savicevic A, Mulic R, Ban B, Kozul K, Bacun-Ivcek L, Valic J, Popijac-Cesar G, Marinovic-Dunatov S, Gotovac M, Simunovic A. Risk factors for pulmonary tuberculosis in Croatia: a matched case-control study. *BMC Public Health* 2013;13:991. doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-13-991.
 15. Vončina L, Pristaš I, Mastilica M, Polašek O, Šošić Z, Stevanović R. Use of preventive health care services among the unemployed in Croatia. *Croat Med J* 2007;48:667–74. PMID: PMC2205972
 16. Dragun A, Russo A, Rumboldt M. Socioeconomic stress and drug consumption: unemployment as an adverse health factor in Croatia. *Croat Med J* 2006;47:685–92. PMID: PMC2080470
 17. Bartley M. Unemployment and ill health: understanding the relationship. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 1994;48:333–7. PMID: PMC1059979
 18. Škare M, Buterin V. Modelling and forecasting unemployment non-linear dynamics using spectral analysis. *Inz Ekon* 2015;26:373–83. doi: 10.5755/j01.ee.26.4.8718
 19. Bosque-Prous M, Espelt A, Sordo L, Guitart AM, Brugal MT, Bravo MJ. Job loss, unemployment and the incidence of hazardous drinking during the late 2000s recession in Europe among adults aged 50–64 years. *PLoS One* 2015;10(10):e0140017. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0140017
 20. Brandt M, Hank K. Scars that will not disappear: long-term associations between early and later life unemployment under different welfare regimes. *J Soc Policy* 2014;43:727–43. doi: 10.1017/S0047279414000397
 21. Alavinia SM, Burdorf A. Unemployment and retirement and ill-health: a cross-sectional analysis across European countries. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health* 2008;82:39–45. doi: 10.1007/s00420-008-0304-6
 22. Börsch-Supan A. Survey of Health, Ageing and Retirement in Europe (SHARE) Wave 6. Release version: 6.0.0. SHARE-ERIC. Data set. 2018. doi: 10.6103/SHARE.w6.600
 23. Malter F, Börsch-Supan A, editors. SHARE Wave 6: Panel Innovations and Collecting Dried Blood Spots. Munich: Munich Center for the Economics of Aging (MEA); 2017.
 24. Börsch-Supan A, Brandt M, Hunkler C, Kneip T, Korbacher J, Malter F, Schaaf B, Stuck S, Zuber S. Data resource profile: The Survey of Health, Ageing and Retirement in Europe (SHARE). *Int J Epidemiol* 2013;42:992–1001. doi: 10.1093/ije/dyt088
 25. Malter F, Schuller K, Börsch-Supan A. SHARE Compliance Profiles - Wave 6. Munich: MEA, Max Planck Institute for Social Law and Social Policy; 2016.
 26. Smolić Š. The determinants of health among the population aged 50 and over: evidence from Croatia. *Public Sector Economics* 2017;41:85–108. doi: 10.3326/pse.41.1.9
 27. Eurostat Statistics Explained. International Standard Classification of Education (ISCED) [displayed 26 March 2019]. Available at [http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php/International_Standard_Classification_of_Education_\(ISCED\)#ISCED_1997_.28fields.29_and_ISCED-F_2013](http://ec.europa.eu/eurostat/statistics-explained/index.php/International_Standard_Classification_of_Education_(ISCED)#ISCED_1997_.28fields.29_and_ISCED-F_2013)
 28. Prince MJ, Reischies F, Beekman AT, Fuhrer R, Jonker C, Kivela SL, Lawlor BA, Lobo A, Magnusson H, Fichter M, van Oyen H, Roelands M, Skoog I, Turrina C, Copeland JR. Development of the EURO-D scale - a European Union initiative to compare symptoms of depression in 14 European centres. *Br J Psychiatry* 1999;174:330–8. doi: 10.1192/bjp.174.4.330
 29. Hughes ME, Waite LJ, Hawkey LC, Cacioppo JT. A short scale for measuring loneliness in large surveys: results from two population-based studies. *Res Aging* 2004;26:655–72. doi: 10.1177/0164027504268574
 30. Malter F, Börsch-Supan A, editors. SHARE Wave 4 Innovations & Methodology. Munich: MEA, Max Planck Institute for Social Law and Social Policy; 2013.
 31. The R Development Core Team. R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2014.
 32. Piccinelli M, Wilkinson G. Gender differences in depression: Critical review. *Br J Psychiatry* 2000;177:486–92. doi: 10.1192/bjp.177.6.486
 33. Romans S, Cohen M, Forte T. Rates of depression and anxiety in urban and rural Canada. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol* 2011;46:567–75. doi: 10.1007/s00127-010-0222-2
 34. Bailis DS, Segall A, Chipperfield JG. Two views of self-rated general health status. *Soc Sci Med* 2003;56:203–17. doi: 10.1016/S0277-9536(02)00020-5
 35. Dominick KL, Ahern FM, Gold CH, Heller DA. Relationship of health-related quality of life to health care utilization and mortality among older adults. *Aging Clin Exp Res* 2002;14:499–508. doi: 10.1007/BF03327351
 36. Idler EL, Benyamini Y. Self-rated health and mortality: a review of twenty-seven community studies. *J Health Soc Behav* 1997;38:21–37. doi: 10.2307/2955359
 37. Lučanin D, Despot Lučanin J, Koščec Bjelajac A, Delale EA. Longitudinal psychosocial predictors of life satisfaction in old persons. In: Proceedings of the 20th Psychology Days in Zadar; 19–21 May 2017. Zadar, Croatia. Zadar: University of Zadar; 2017. p. 115–25.
 38. Lachytova M, Katreniakova Z, Mikula P, Jendrichovsky M, Nagyova I. Associations between self-rated health, mental health problems and physical inactivity among urban adolescents. *Eur J Public Health* 2017;27:984–9. doi: 10.1093/eurpub/ckx051
 39. Balash Y, Mordechovich M, Shabtai H, Merims D, Giladi N. Subjective memory decline in healthy community-dwelling elders. What does this complain mean? *Acta Neurol Scand* 2010;121:194–7. doi: 10.1111/j.1600-0404.2009.01159.x
 40. Huang Z, Maurer J. Validity of self-rated memory among middle-aged and older chinese adults: results from the China Health and Retirement Longitudinal Study (CHARLS). *Assessment* 2017 Nov 1;1073191117741188. doi: 10.1177/1073191117741188
 41. Benton AL, Sivan AB. Problems and conceptual issues in neuropsychological research in aging and dementia. *J Clin Neuropsychol* 1984;6:57–63. doi: 10.1080/01688638408401196
 42. Klein DN, Kotov R, Bufferd SJ. Personality and depression: explanatory models and review of the evidence. *Ann Rev Clin Psychol* 2011;7:269–95. doi: 10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-032210-104540

Radni status i drugi prediktori mentalnog zdravlja i kognitivnih funkcija starijih radnika u Hrvatskoj

Cilj ovog rada bio je ispitati mentalno zdravlje i kognitivne funkcije starijih radnika u Hrvatskoj (50–65 godina), uzimajući u obzir njihov radni status, samoprocjenu zdravlja i skupinu demografskih karakteristika. Analizirani su podaci o 650 starijih radnika (71 % zaposlenih) iz šestog vala *Istraživanja o zdravlju, starenju i umirovljenju u Europi (SHARE)*. Nezaposleni stariji radnici značajno su češće navodili simptome usamljenosti nego zaposleni, a u sudionika iz ruralnih područja nezaposlenost je dodatno bila povezana s izraženijim simptomima depresivnosti. Osjećaj usamljenosti također je bio izraženiji u onih koji žive bez partnera u istom domaćinstvu i onih slabijeg zdravlja. Kad je riječ o sudionicima koji žive u gradu, simptomi depresivnosti bili su izraženiji u žena, u onih koji imaju više obrazovanje, u onih koji žive bez partnera i u onih koji svoje zdravlje procjenjuju lošijim. Što se tiče kognitivnih funkcija, nezaposlenost je bila značajni prediktor lošijeg rezultata na zadacima oduzimanja u ruralnom poduzorku. Žene su općenito pokazale slabije numeričke sposobnosti. U gradskom poduzorku slabije numeričke sposobnosti bile su također povezane s nižom razinom obrazovanja i životom bez partnera u domaćinstvu. Bolje verbalno dosjećanje bilo je povezano s boljim obrazovanjem i boljom samoprocjenom pamćenja. Prediktori boljih rezultata na testu verbalne fluentnosti bili su stanovanje u gradu i bolja samoprocjena zdravlja. Naši rezultati pokazuju da su zaposlenost, bolje obrazovanje, život s partnerom i bolje zdravstveno stanje protektivni faktori mentalnog zdravlja i kognitivnog funkcioniranja starijih radnika u Hrvatskoj.

KLJUČNE RIJEČI: nezaposlenost; samoprocjena zdravlja; simptomi depresije; starenje; usamljenost